1 [Parties and Counsel Listed on Signature Pages] 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MDL No. 3047 IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT 12 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION Case Nos. 4:22-md-03047-YGR-PHK 13 4:23-cv-05448-YGR THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 14 **META AND STATE AGS'** People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) 15 Platforms, Inc., et al. ORDER EXTENDING CERTAIN EXPERT AND RELATED PRETRIAL 16 DEADLINES AND OTHER PRETRIAL **DEADLINES** 17 Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers 18 Magistrate Judge: Hon. Peter H. Kang 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, and 7-12, the State Attorneys General ("State AGs") and Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC; Meta Payments, Inc.; and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, "Meta," and together, the "Parties"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. By stipulation of the Parties and Order of the Court (ECF 1955 and 2139), the expert discovery deadlines relating to four AG-specific experts—Adam Alter, Ravi Iyer, Carl Saba, and Patrick McDaniel—were extended to the dates reflected in the second column of the chart below.
- 2. The Parties have met and conferred over several weeks and agree, subject to Court approval, to extend by approximately ten weeks the deadline for the Saba and McDaniel opening reports, to allow time for the production of certain discovery that the State AGs intend to incorporate into those reports—specifically, (1) certain data related to the average daily time spent by Meta's users that the Parties have negotiated for Meta to produce, (2) certain updated cost data, (3) testimony related to the interpretation of data related to underage reporting and enforcement, and (4) geographic location data for accounts found in certain of Meta's soft-matching tables. Meta estimates that it can produce this discovery according to the following schedule:
 - a. Testimony on Topic 7 of the State AGs' Fourth Amended Rule 30(b)(6) Notice: by no later than October 10, 2025.
 - b. Geographic location data for certain soft-matched accounts: September 26, 2025.
 - c. Average daily time spent data, samples of underlying data, and verified Interrogatory response: October 10, 2025.
- 3. The Parties also agree, subject to Court approval, to extend by approximately seven to eight weeks the deadlines for (a) Meta's reports responsive to these two State AG expert reports; (b) the rebuttal reports corresponding to these two expert reports; and (c) the close of expert discovery as related to these two reports and any related responsive and rebuttal reports, as reflected in the chart below.
- 4. The Parties also agree, subject to Court approval, to extend by approximately three weeks the deadline for Meta's reports responsive to the Alter report; (b) the rebuttal reports corresponding to

this expert report; and (c) the close of expert discovery as related to this expert report and any related responsive and rebuttal reports, as reflected in the chart below.

- 5. Finally, in light of these agreed-upon extensions, the Parties further agree, subject to Court approval, to extend the deadlines for Rule 702 motions as to the four AG-specific experts named in paragraph 1 and dispositive motions as to the State AGs' claims.
- 6. This Court has previously extended expert report, Rule 702 motion, dispositive motion, and pretrial deadlines, on agreement of all parties to the MDL as part of an MDL-wide schedule extension, *see* ECF 1159, and again as to the four State AG-specific experts involved in this extension on agreement of the State AGs and Meta, *see* ECF 1955, with a later, further agreed-upon extension of expert report deadlines for Saba and McDaniel, *see* ECF 2139.
- 7. To the extent the discovery listed in paragraph 2 is not produced by October 10, 2025, or the productions are incomplete or incorrect, the Parties agree to promptly meet and confer regarding further adjustments to the expert discovery schedule, including the possible reduction of time within which Meta will have to serve responsive reports to the McDaniel and Saba opening expert reports.
- 8. Should all of the discovery described in paragraph 2 be produced prior to October 10, 2025, then the schedule will be advanced accordingly per the timetable in the chart below.
- 9. Should the State AGs serve the Saba or McDaniel opening expert reports prior to November 21, 2025, then the schedule will be advanced accordingly per the timetable in the chart below.
- 10. Therefore, the Parties agree, subject to the Court's approval, that the following deadlines will apply:

Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Exchange Preliminary Witness Lists	September 10, 2025	September 24, 2025 (for Meta witnesses only; Parties to meet and confer immediately following October 24, 2025 CMC regarding

Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
		timing for exchange of prelimina
		witness lists for State witnesses)
Non-Case Specific and	August 1, 2025 (for the opening	N/A (already passed)
Causation Experts:	reports of Alter and Iyer)	
Plaintiffs' Opening		November 21, 2025 (for the
Reports	September 12, 2025 (for the	opening reports of McDaniel and
	opening reports of McDaniel and	Saba), or approximately 6 weeks
G 1 + 10 :	Saba)	after production of complete dat
Supplemental Opening	September 19, 2025	Deadline vacated in light of late
Expert Report of Patrick McDaniel		proposed opening report deadling above
	Cantanahan 26 2025 (San Mata)	
Non-Case Specific and	September 26, 2025 (for Meta's	September 26, 2025 (for Meta's
Causation Experts:	responsive reports to the Alter	responsive reports to the Iyer rep
Defendants' Responsive Reports	and Iyer reports)	October 17, 2025 (for Meta's
Reports	October 24, 2025 (for Meta's	responsive reports to the Alter
	responsive reports to the	report)
	McDaniel and Saba reports)	
	Webamer and Saba reports)	December 19, 2025 (for Meta's
		responsive reports to the McDan
		and Saba reports), or approxima
		4 weeks after Opening Reports
Non-Case Specific and	October 24, 2025 (for the State	October 24, 2025 (for the State
Causation Experts:	AGs' rebuttal reports in response	AGs' rebuttal reports in respons
Plaintiffs' Rebuttal	to Meta's responsive reports to	Meta's responsive reports to the
Reports	the Alter and Iyer reports)	report)
•		
	November 14, 2025 (for the State	November 21, 2025 (for the Sta
	AGs' rebuttal reports in response	AGs' rebuttal reports in respons
	to Meta's responsive reports to	Meta's responsive reports to the
	the McDaniel and Saba reports)	Alter report)
		January 16, 2026 (for the State
		AGs' rebuttal reports in respons
		Meta's responsive reports to the
		McDaniel report), or approxima
		4 weeks after Responsive Report
		January 23, 2026 (for the State
		AGs' rebuttal reports in respons
		Meta's responsive reports to the
		Saba report), or approximately 3
		weeks after Responsive Reports
		weeks agree responsive reports
Close of Expert	November 7, 2025 (for	November 7, 2025 (for depositi
Discovery	depositions of Alter, Iyer, Meta's	of Iyer, Meta's responsive exper
-	1 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	and any AG rebuttal experts)

¹ The term "Meta witnesses" refers to current and former employees of Meta. The term "State witnesses" refers to current and former employees of State AGs and state agencies. See ECF 1696.

1	Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
2		responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts)	December 19, 2025 (for depositions
3		December 5, 2025 (for	of Alter, Meta's responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts)
4		depositions of McDaniel, Saba, Meta's responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts)	January 30, 2026 (for depositions of McDaniel, Saba, Meta's
5		unij 110 100 unuar emperio)	responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts), or approximately 1
6			to 2 weeks after Rebuttal Reports
7	Dispositive and Rule 702 (Daubert) Motions:	December 12, 2025 (for (a) Meta's and the State AGs'	January 30, 2026 (for (a) Meta's and the State AGs' dispositive
8	Opening Briefs	dispositive motions as to the State AGs' claims and Meta's defenses	motions as to the State AGs' claims and Meta's defenses to those claims
9		to those claims and (b) Meta's and the State AGs' Rule 702	and (b) Meta's and the State AGs' Rule 702 motions as to Alter and
0		motions as to the State AGs' four AG-specific experts and Meta's	Iyer, Meta's responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts)
1		responsive experts), or 4 weeks after the Close of Expert	February 13, 2026 (for Meta's and
2		Discovery, whichever is sooner	the State AGs' Rule 702 motions as to McDaniel and Saba, Meta's
.3			responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts), or 2 weeks after
4			the Close of Expert Discovery as to McDaniel and Saba
.5	Dispositive and Rule 702 (Daubert) Motions:	January 23, 2026 (for (a) the State AGs' and Meta's oppositions to dispositive	February 27, 2026 (for (a) Meta's and the State AGs' oppositions to dispositive motions as to the State
6	Opposition Briefs	motions as to the State AGs' claims and Meta's defenses to	AGs' claims and Meta's defenses to those claims and (b) Meta's and the
7		those claims and (b) the State AGs' and Meta's oppositions to	State AGs' Rule 702 oppositions as to Alter and Iyer, Meta's responsive
8		Rule 702 motions as to the State AGs' four AG-specific experts	experts, and any AG rebuttal experts)
9		and Meta's responsive experts), or approximately 4 weeks after	March 6, 2026 (for Meta's and the
20		Opening Briefs, whichever is sooner	State AGs' Rule 702 oppositions as to McDaniel and Saba, Meta's
21			responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts), or 3 weeks after
22			Opening Briefs as to McDaniel and Saba
23			
24	Dispositive and Rule 702	February 20, 2026 (for (a)	March 27, 2026 (for (a) Meta's and
25	(Daubert) Motions: Reply Briefs	Meta's and the State AGs' replies in support of dispositive motions	the State AGs' replies in support of dispositive motions as to the State
26 27	Reply Bliefs	as to the State AGs' claims and Meta's defenses to those claims and (b) Meta's and the State	AGs' claims and Meta's defenses to those claims and (b) Meta's and the State AGs' replies in support of Rule

Event	Current Deadline		Proposed Deadline	
	702 motion four AG-s Meta's resumproxim	ies in support of Rule ons as to the State AGs' specific experts and sponsive experts), or ately 4 weeks after in Briefs, whichever is	702 motions as to Alter and Iyer, Meta's responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts) March 27, 2026 (for Meta's and the State AGs' replies in support of Ru 702 motions as to McDaniel and Saba, Meta's responsive experts, and any AG rebuttal experts), or 3 week after Opposition Briefs as to McDaniel and Saba	
IT IC CO CTIDIU	ATED AND ACDI	ZED		
IT IS SO STIPUL	ATED AND AGKI	LLD.		
Respectfully submit	tted,			
DATED: September 10, 2025 By: /s/ Megan O'Neill				
		ROB BONTA		
Attorney General				
State of California				
			rs (CA SBN 211222)	

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Senior Assistant Attorney General Bernard Eskandari (SBN 244395) Emily Kalanithi (SBN 256972) Supervising Deputy Attorneys General Nayha Arora (CA SBN 350467) Megan O'Neill (CA SBN 343535) Joshua Olszewski-Jubelirer (CA SBN 336428) Marissa Roy (CA SBN 318773) Brendan Ruddy (CA SBN 297896) Deputy Attorneys General California Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Phone: (415) 510-4400 Fax: (415) 703-5480 Megan.Oneill@doj.ca.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of California

1	PHILIP J. WEISER
2	Attorney General
2	State of Colorado
3	/s/ Krista Batchelder
4	Krista Batchelder, CO Reg. No. 45066,
5	pro hac vice Deputy Solicitor General
	Shannon Stevenson, CO Reg. No. 35542, pro hac vice
6	Solicitor General
7	Elizabeth Orem, CO Reg. No. 58309, pro hac vice
	Assistant Attorney General
8	Colorado Department of Law
9	Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center Consumer Protection Section
	1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
10	Denver, CO 80203
11	Phone: (720) 508-6651
11	krista.batchelder@coag.gov
12	Shannon.stevenson@coag.gov
13	Elizabeth.orem@coag.gov
	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Colorado, ex rel.
14	Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General
15	
16	RUSSELL COLEMAN
17	Attorney General
17	Commonwealth of Kentucky
18	/s/ Philip Heleringer
19	J. Christian Lewis (KY Bar No. 87109),
20	Pro hac vice
20	Philip Heleringer (KY Bar No. 96748), Pro hac vice
21	Zachary Richards (KY Bar No. 99209),
22	Pro hac vice
	Daniel I. Keiser (KY Bar No. 100264),
23	Pro hac vice
24	Matthew Cocanougher (KY Bar No. 94292),
~ +	Pro hac vice Assistant Attorneys General
25	1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200
26	Frankfort, KY 40601
26	CHRISTIAN.LEWIS@KY.GOV
27	PHILIP.HELERINGER@KY.GOV
<u>, </u>	ZACH.RICHARDS@KY.GOV
28	7

1 DANIEL.KEISER@KY.GOV 2 Phone: (502) 696-5300 Fax: (502) 564-2698 3 4 5 MATTHEW J. PLATKIN Attorney General 6 State of New Jersey 7 /s/ Kashif T. Chand 8 Pro hac vice 9 Assistant Attorney General 10 Pro hac vice 11 12 Pro hac vice 13 Pro hac vice Deputy Attorneys General 14 Division of Law 15 124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor Newark, NJ 07101 16 Tel: (973) 648-2052 17 Kashif.Chand@law.njoag.gov 18 Mandy.Wang@law.njoag.gov 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

MATTHEW.COCANOUGHER@KY.GOV Attorneys for Plaintiff the Commonwealth of Kentucky Kashif T. Chand (NJ Bar No. 016752008), Thomas Huynh (NJ Bar No. 200942017), Assistant Section Chief, Deputy Attorney General Verna J. Pradaxay (NJ Bar No. 335822021), Mandy K. Wang (NJ Bar No. 373452021), New Jersey Office of the Attorney General, Thomas.Huynh@law.njoag.gov Verna.Pradaxay@law.njoag.gov Attorneys for Plaintiffs New Jersey Attorney General and the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs Matthew J. Platkin, Attorney General for the State of New Jersey, and Elizabeth Harris, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs

28

By: /s/ James P. Rouhandeh

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

James P. Rouhandeh, pro hac vice
Antonio J. Perez-Marques, pro hac vice
Caroline Stern, pro hac vice
Corey M. Meyer, pro hac vice
DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 450-4000
Facsimile: (212) 701-5800
rouhandeh@davispolk.com
antonio.perez@davispolk.com
caroline.stern@davispolk.com
corey.meyer@davispolk.com

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

Ashley M. Simonsen, SBN 275203 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (424) 332-4800 Facsimile: +1 (424) 332-4749 Email: asimonsen@cov.com

Phyllis A. Jones, pro hac vice
Paul W. Schmidt, pro hac vice
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One City Center
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
Telephone: +1 (202) 662-6000
Facsimile: +1 (202) 662-6291
Email: pajones@cov.com

Attorneys for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC; Meta Payments, Inc.; and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC

SIGNATURE CERTIFICATION

Under Civ. L.R. 5-1(h)(3), I, Megan O'Neill, hereby attest that all signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in this filing's content and have authorized this filing.

DATED: September 10, 2025 /s/ Megan O'Neill

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 11, 2025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE